Approved For Release 20011/05/01/MANCIA	RDP82-00357R000900130011-1	
SECTION A GENERAL	INFORMATION	
1. SOC SEC NUMBER	3. SD 4. SC	HED 5. GRADE
6. AFFILIATION	7. OCCUPATIONAL TITLE	
8. OFFICE/DIVISION/BRANCH OF ASSIGNMENT	9. CURRENT STATION	10. HQS
11. REPORTING PERIOD	12. DATE REPORT DUE IN OP 13. TYP	E OF REPORT
SECTION B QUALIFIC	CATIONS UPDATE	
QUALIFICATIONS UPDATE FORM BEING SUBMITTED WITH C	HANGES, AND IS IT ATTACHED YES	NO
SECTION C SPECI	FIC DUTIES	
LIST IN ORDER OF IMPORTANCE THE SPECIFIC DUTIES OF BEST DESCRIBES THE MANNER IN WHICH EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE OF THAT DUTY. ALL EMPLOYEES WITH SUFABILITY TO SUPERVISE (INDICATE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEE FOUND IN SECTION E OF THIS FORM. SEE INSTRUCTION	ORMS EACH SPECIFIC DUTY. CONSIDER ONLY EFFECT PERVISORY RESPONSIBILITIES MUST BE RATED ON THE SS SUPERVISED). DEFINITIONS OF RATINGS TO BE	TIVENESS IN
SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 1		RATING NUMBER
		NOMBER
SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 2		RATING
	4-	NUMBER
	941	
SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 3		RATING NUMBER
,		Nomber
SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 4		RATING
		Number
SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 5		RATING
-		NUMBER
	,	
94		
SPECIFIC DUTY NO. 6		RATING Number
Approved For Release 2001/05/01 : CIA	-RDP82-00357R000900130011-1	

				
	ATEDAL TARODAMATA	OM		
1. SOC SEC NUMBER 2. NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIC	TETA-RDP82-003	57R000900	1 3 0011-1 3. SD	4. SCHED 5. GRADE
25 (25)	•			
6. AFFILIATION		7. OCCUPA	TIONAL TITLE	
8. OFFICE/DIVISION/BRANCH OF ASSIGNMENT		9. CURREN	T STATION	10. HQS
11. REPORTING PERIOD		DATE REPO	RT DUE IN OP	13. TYPE OF REPOR
		<u> </u>		1
4. EMPLO	OYEE COMMENTS (Optional)		
THE EMPLOYEE HAS THE OPTION TO PROVIDE A SELF	APPRAISAL OF PER			OR NOT ON THE
SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION AND/OR THE REVIEWER'S	COMMENTS.			
d				
,				
				•
4				
I CERTIFY THAT THIS REPORT WAS DISCUSSED	DATE	<u>'</u>	SIGNATURE OF	EMPLOYEE
WITH ME BY MY SUPERVISOR.		ł		
5. REVI	EWING OFFICIAL	COMMENTS		
COMMENT OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL.	EMINO OTTICIZE	COLLITATIO	:	
41 44 1				
*				
The same state of the second o				
			iw.	
				2
DATE TITLE OF REVIEWING OFFI	CIAL	TYPED OF	PRINTED NAME	AND SIGNATURE
·				
		<u> </u>		
I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ THE REVIEWER'S COM	MENTS. DATE		SIGNATURE OF	EMPLOYEE
A	OLA DEPOS COS	F7D00000	400044 4	
Approved For Release 2001/05/01	: CIA-RDP82-003	57.KUUU9U0	13UUTT-T	

.

•						
SECTION DApproved For Rele	ase 2 009/05/	HTSCIANROP8	2-00357	R0009001300	11-1	
•	1.	SUPERVISOR'	S COMM	ENTS		147
AMPLIFY OR EXPLAIN THE INDIVISION OF THE INDIVIS	SEE ATTACHE	ANY SUGGESTION ED INSTRUCTION	IS MADE	FOR IMPROVEMEN	NT OF WORK PE	ATE SIGNIFICANT RFORMANCE. GIVE CONSCIOUSNESS,
ı						
						100

				•		
			·			5
•						
						•
a ·						
		į.				
and the second s						
. 9			•			
	2. 0	VERALL PERFO	DMANCE	DATING		
THE OVERALL PERFORMANCE RATIN					EMPLOYEE WH	ICH
INFLUENCES EFFECTIVENESS. SE		NSTRUCTIONS FO			RATING	NUMBER:
MONTHS EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN				TO EMPLOYEE,	GIVE EXPLANA	TION.
MONTHS UNDER MY SUPERVISION						
INTERIM DISCUSSION(S) ABOUT W	ORK PLAN PROC	GRESSWAS/	WAS	NOT HELD. (C	CHECK ONE)	
DATE T	TITLE OF SUPER	RVISOR		TYPED OR PRIN	ITED NAME AND	SIGNATURE
Approved For Rele	ase 2001/05/0	01 : CIA-RDP8	2-00357	'R0009001300	11-1	
7.191.0.101.01.100			1			

Approved For Release 2001/05/01 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000900130011-1

Individual Duty

- Individual consistently fails to meet the established work standards for the duty or task performed. Performance is unsatisfactory.
- Individual frequently fails to meet the work standard for the duty or task performed. Performance is marginal.

Individual occasionally fails to meet the work standard for the duty or task performed. Performance is acceptable.

Individual fully meets the work standards for the duty or task performed. Ferfermance is representative.

Individual occasionally exceeds the established work standard for the duty or task performed. Performance is good.

Individual frequently exceeds the established work standard for the duty or task performed. Performance is excellent.

Individual invariably exceeds the established work standard for the duty or task performed. Performance is superior.

Overall Performance

Performance does not meet all established work standards for the position and specifically demonstrates the individual's failure to meet one or more important job requirements (e.g., doesn't complete work; lacks the necessary knowledge, skill, or ability to do the job properly). Performance is unsatisfactory.

Performance frequently does not meet all established work standards for the position and reflects a significant problem relating to the individual's suitabilit for continued assignment in the job (e.g., seldom completes work assignments without strong support; work products or services are often faulty and incomplete). Performance is marginal.

Performance generally meets established work standards for the position but characteristically needs improvement in a specific area or on occasion falls somewhat short of satisfying all job requirements (e.g., inconsistent work effort in meeting deadlines; quality of work product or service sometimes needs to be improved). Performance is acceptable.

Performance meets all established work standards for the position and attests to a satisfactory level of job-related knowledge, skill or ability (e.g., does, what is expected; reliable and dependable, a typical performer). Performance is representative.

Performance occasionally exceeds established work standards for the position and is generally of higher quality than is required to do the job satisfactorily (e.g., generally produces a better than average produc or service; reveals a good level of knowledge, ability and skill in satisfying work requirements).—
Performance is good.

Performance frequently exceeds established work standards for the position and shows that the individual's level of job-related knowledge, skill, and ability is highly developed (e.g., functions with ease in satisfying work requirements, producing a high-quality product or service). Performance is excellent.

Performance invariably exceeds established work standards for the position, and is characterized by extraordinary proficiency suggestive of one expert at doing the job (e.g., highly efficient performer, one who demonstrates impressive knowledge, skill and ability in his or her work performance). Performance is

			*-	-	- -					
-			ADVANCE	WOR	s K PLAN	1				
	, Appro	oved For Release 2	0 01/05 /0 1∕∓Ç IA -F	DP8	2+00357	R0009001	3 0011-1			
1.	SOC SEC NUMBER	2. NAME (Last,	first, middle)					3. SD	4.SCHED	5.GRADE
6.	AFFILIATION			7.	OCCUPAT	IONAL TITLE				
8.	OFFICE/DIVISION	BRANCH OF ASSIGNMEN	T	9-	CURRENT	STATION	•			10.HQS
A.	EMPLOYEE'S JOB- ber and type o	- State briefly where f employees Super	the position fits vised by this e	in th nuplo	e staff	fing patter	rn and if c	ιρριορι	iate, the	num-
	•		4							
				٠						
	• 1		•			·				
В.	WORK OBJECTIVES	, GOALS AND PRIORITI	IES - List the spec	cific	objecti	ves and goal	s, in prior	ity ord	er, formu	lated
		or and the employee.					•			
			-13					•		
		·				•	•			
		·	•					. *		
				٠.			•	9		
•		٠								
	*	• •			:					
		• •	•	•			•			
		e Bennind agent toage	0.			•		•		:
τ.	respondent and prove				•				•	-
•			·						44	
								: .		•
	•	1 10		•						•
	•	•	• .						145	٠.
	20		4							
								•		
	~ .					,		y .		
			•	:					•	•
		. *				* *** *				•
	*		0.04			•		•		
							•	*	•	
										•
		·			•		•			
PER	TOD COVERED			(To	bs attac	hed to the	PAR for thi	s period	.)	
STC	MATURE OF EMPLOYS	T (Name tymed)	- Arend	1	יביות עוכשו	F OF SUPERVI	SOR (Name 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Approved For Release 2001/05/01 : CIA-RDF82-00357R000900130011-1

APSTRITCHDAM" . G._--

DATE

TITLE ...

, 'i •	EVALUAT	ION OF POTENTIAL				
SECTION A Approved For Release 2001/0			420044 4			
1. SQC SEC NO. 2. NAME (LAST, I			190011-1	3. 5	D + .:	SCHED GR
6. AFFILIATION		7. OCCUPATIONAL	TITLE			
8. OFFICE/DIVISION/BRANCH OF ASSIGNME	ENT	9. CURRENT STATE	ON _			10.н
11. REPORTING PERIOD		12. DATE REPORT	DUE IN OP	13.	TYPE	OF REPOR
EVALUATION AND COMMENTS MUST BE LIMIT SUPERVISOR'S AREA OF EXPERTISE. THE ASSUME ADDED RESPONSIBILITY.	ED TO DIRECT EVALUATION IS	OBSERVATION OF PER AN ESTIMATE OF TH	FORMANCE AN E INDIVIDUA	D BE WITH L'S POTEN	IN THE	0
SECTION B	EV	ALUATION				
READINESS OF THIS INDIVIDUAL BEST BE DESCRIBED BY THE FOLE EMPLOYEE APPEARS TO LA IT IS DIFFICULT TO JULE RESPONSIBILITY. EMPLO PRESENT JOB. EMPLOYEE PERFORMS THE READY TO ASSUME HIGHER EMPLOYEE IS READY TO A	CK THE CAPABI OGE WHEN THE E OYEE HAS ROOM FULL RANGE OF	TENT: ILITY TO ASSUME HIGH EMPLOYEE MAY BE REA TO GROW WITHIN THE RESPONSIBILITIES	HER LEVEL R DY TO ASSUM SCOPE OF R	RESPONSIBI IE A HIGHE IESPONSIBI	LITY. R LEVE	EL OF S OF THE
SECTION C	SUPERVIS	OR'S COMMENTS				
SECTION C EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE GROWTH AND ADVANCEMENT, OR THE LACK TH	OHAL ITIES OF	OR'S COMMENTS . WORK PERFORMANCE T. T WITH EXAMPLES.	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READI	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	OHAL ITIES OF	MORK OFFICE	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READ!	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	OHAL ITIES OF	MORK OFFICE	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READ!	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	OHAL ITIES OF	MORK OFFICE	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READI	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	OHAL ITIES OF	MORK OFFICE	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READI	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	OHAL ITIES OF	MORK OFFICE	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READI	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	OHAL ITIES OF	MORK OFFICE	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READI	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE	QUALITIES OF EREOF; SUPPOR	WORK PERFORMANCE T. T WITH EXAMPLES.	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE	READI	NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE GROWTH AND ADVANCEMENT, OR THE LACK TH	OHAL ITIES OF	T WITH EXAMPLES.	HAT BEST DE	MONSTRATE		NESS FOR
EXPLAIN YOUR CHOICE ABOVE. STATE THE GROWTH AND ADVANCEMENT, OR THE LACK TH	QUALITIES OF EREOF; SUPPOR	T WITH EXAMPLES.	HAT BEST DE			NESS FOR